arXiv:gr-qc/0506077v1 13 Jun 2005

Numerical validation of the Kerr metric in Bondi-Sachs form

Liebrecht R. Venter and Nigel T. Bishop
Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Africa, P.O. Box 392, Unisa 0003, South Africa

A metric representing the Kerr geometry has been obtained by Pretorius and Israel. We make a coordinate transformation on this metric, thereby bringing it into Bondi-Sachs form. In order to validate the metric, we evaluate it numerically on a regular grid of the new coordinates. The Ricci tensor is then computed, for different discretizations, and found to be convergent to zero. We also investigate the behaviour of the metric near the axis of symmetry and confirm regularity. Finally we investigate a Bondi-Sachs representation of the Kerr geometry reported by Fletcher and Lun; we confirm numerically that their metric is Ricci flat, but find that it has an irregular behaviour at the pole.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is widely believed that the end-state of most massive objects is a black hole described by the Kerr geometry. The most powerful source of gravitational radiation is the merger of a black hole with another black hole (or other compact object such as a neutron star). Such processes need to be calculated numerically, and the field of numerical relativity has been developed to tackle such problems – see, for example the review [1]. The most popular approach to numerical relativity is based on the ADM formalism, and variations thereof, as discussed in the review cited. In these formalisms, it is understood how to set initial data for a Kerr black hole [2].

An alternative approach to numerical relativity is based on an evolution of the metric variables in the Bondi-Sachs metric [3, 4]. The approach has been used by a number of different groups – for example [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], and for a review see [11]. Applications involving black holes have been restricted to the Schwarzschild case, because of the difficulty in setting initial and boundary data for a rotating black hole. Also, the problem of obtaining just the horizon data is much simpler [13], and from such data one could then use a numerical code to construct a complete Bondi-Sachs representation of the Kerr geometry.

The representation of the Kerr geometry obtained by Pretorius and Israel [14] (and hereafter this reference will be denoted as PI) is not in Bondi-Sachs form. However, the PI coordinates are based on outgoing light cones, and as such should provide a useful starting-point for the construction of the Bondi-Sachs form of the Kerr metric. We have constructed a coordinate transformation on the PI coordinates that brings the transformed metric into Bondi-Sachs form. In order to validate our metric (and also that of PI) we need to show that the Ricci tensor vanishes. The metric is not explicit with respect to the new coordinates, so an analytic approach would seem to be hopeless. Instead we wrote code that numerically computes the Ricci tensor for the metric, and confirmed that the metric is Ricci flat.

In order to describe the Kerr geometry, a metric must not only be Ricci flat but must also be regular on the axis of symmetry. Thus, we also find the leading order terms of the metric near the axis, and thereby confirm regularity.

Fletcher and Lun [12] have proposed a metric in Bondi-Sachs form that represents the Kerr geometry. We will call this the FL metric. The metric has the convenient property that its coefficients are explicit functions of its coordinates, so a numerical evaluation of the Ricci tensor is straightforward. This we did, confirming that the Ricci tensor vanishes. However, we also found that it does not have a normal behaviour near the pole, thus rendering it inappropriate for use in numerical relativity.

Sec. II summarizes results obtained in PI that will be used later. Then in Sec. III we obtain in explicit form the equations that relate the Boyer-Lindquist and PI coordinates, and also find explicit expressions for the PI metric quantities. Sec. IV derives the coordinate transformation needed to change the PI metric into Bondi-Sachs form. Sec. V discusses the numerical implementation of the computation of the Ricci tensor, and presents the results. The properties of the FL metric are discussed in Sec. VI. We end with a Conclusion, Sec. VII.

II. BACKGROUND: THE PI METRIC

The Kerr metric in standard Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) is

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2 + R^2 \sin^2\theta d\phi^2 - \frac{4mar\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}d\phi dt - \left(1 - \frac{2mr}{\Sigma}\right)dt^2 \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Sigma = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta,\ \Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2mr,\ R^2 = r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2ma^2 r\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}. \tag{2}$$

The metric obtained by PI (Eq. (PI-31)) uses coordinates (t, r_*, λ, ϕ), with $r_* = r_*(r,\theta), \lambda = \lambda(r,\theta)$. The metric is

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{R^2}(dr_*^2 - dt^2) + \frac{L^2}{R^2}d\lambda^2 + R^2\sin^2\theta(d\phi - \omega_B dt)^2, \tag{3}$$

where (see Eq. (PI-30))

$$\omega_B = \frac{2mar}{\Sigma R^2}, \tag{4}$$

and L is defined in Eq. (9) below. PI often use θ_* instead of λ as a coordinate, the two quantities being related by

$$\lambda = \sin^2(\theta_*) \tag{5}$$

(see Eqs. (PI-36) and (PI-37)). Thus an alternative form of the metric (3) is

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{R^2}(dr_*^2 - dt^2) + \frac{L^2\sin^2 2\theta_*}{R^2}d\theta_*^2 + R^2\sin^2\theta(d\phi - \omega_B dt)^2. \tag{6}$$

PI define the quantities (see Eqs. (PI-7))

$$P^2(\theta,\lambda) = a^2(\lambda - \sin^2\theta),\ Q^2(r,\lambda) = (r^2+a^2)^2 - a^2\lambda\Delta, \tag{7}$$

and (see Eqs. (PI-36) and (PI-14))

$$F(r,\theta,\lambda) = \int_r^\infty \frac{dr'}{Q(r',\lambda)} - \int_\theta^{\theta_*}\frac{d\theta'}{P(\theta',\lambda)} \text{ subject to the constraint } F = 0, \tag{8}$$

as well as (see Eqs. (PI-28) and (PI-25))

$$L = \mu P Q \text{ with } \mu = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial\lambda}. \tag{9}$$

Eq. (PI-15), and using Eqs. (PI-9) and (PI-39), defines r_*

$$r_* = \int \frac{r^2+a^2}{\Delta(r)}dr + \int_r^\infty \frac{r'^2 + a^2 - Q(r',\lambda)}{\Delta(r')}dr' + \int_{\theta_*}^{\theta} P(\theta',\lambda)d\theta' \tag{10}$$

There is one minor difference in the notation used in PI and here. We regard the mass of the black hole m and the normalized angular momentum a as parameters: thus we do not show a or m as variables in any functional dependence list.

In order to evaluate the metric, the implicit equations need to be reduced to a numerically solvable form. This is now done.

III. PROPERTIES OF THE COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION AND METRIC VARIABLES

A. Relation between (r,θ) and (r_*,θ_*)

Eq. (8) implies

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{dr'}{Q(r',\lambda)} - \int_\theta^{\theta_*}\frac{d\theta'}{P(\theta',\lambda)} = 0, \tag{11}$$

which constitutes a relationship of the form function$(r,\theta,\theta_*)=0$. We found an exact solution for this equation but it was more efficient to solve the r-integral in Eq.(11) numerically and the θ-integral exactly as,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\theta^{\theta_*}\frac{d\theta'}{P(\theta',\lambda)} &= \frac{1}{a}\left[\Phi(\theta_*,\theta_*)-\Phi(\theta,\theta_*)\right] &(12)\\ \Phi(\alpha,\theta_*) &= \epsilon(\cos\alpha)\Xi\left(\frac{\sin\alpha}{\sin\theta_*},\sin\theta_*\right) &(13)\\ \Xi(z,k) &= \int_0^z\frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}\sqrt{1-k^2t^2}} &(14)\end{aligned}$$

with the condition that $a\neq 0$ and where $\epsilon(x)$ is the *signum* of x.

Eq. (10) can be written as

$$r_* = I_1(r)+I_2(r,\lambda)+I_3(\theta,\lambda) \tag{15}$$

and we now evaluate the integrals I_1, I_2 and I_3.

$$I_1 = r+m\ln(r^2+a^2-2mr)+\frac{2m^2}{\sqrt{\nu}}Q_0\left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{m-r}\right)+C, \tag{16}$$

where $\nu=m^2-a^2$; $Q_0(x)$ is the zero-order special Legendre function of the second kind; C is an arbitrary constant of integration, and we set $C=0$. I_2 is expressed as

$$I_2=\int_r^\infty\frac{\zeta^2+a^2-\sqrt{(\zeta^2+a^2)^2-a^2\lambda\Delta(\zeta)}}{\Delta(\zeta)}d\zeta \tag{17}$$

and will be evaluated numerically. I_3 reduces to

$$I_3=a\left[\left[E\left(\gamma,t\right)-E\left(\frac{\pi}{2},t\right)\right]-(1-t^2)\left[F_E\left(\gamma,t\right)-F_E\left(\frac{\pi}{2},t\right)\right]\right] \tag{18}$$

$$\gamma=\sin^{-1}\frac{\sin\theta}{\sin\theta_*},\quad t=\sin\theta_* \tag{19}$$

and where E and F_E are Legendre elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds (we use the notation F_E, rather than the usual F, to avoid any confusion with the F introduced by PI and defined in Eq. (8)).

B. The metric variable L

The function L in the metric needs to be written in a form that can be evaluated explicitly. Now, $L=\mu PQ$ and the problem is to find an explict expression for μ. Using Eqs. (8) and (9)

$$\mu=T_1+T_2=\int_r^\infty\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}Q(r',\lambda)}{Q(r',\lambda)^2}dr'+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\int_\theta^{\sin^{-1}\sqrt{\lambda}}\frac{d\theta}{P(\theta,\lambda)}. \tag{20}$$

The first term T_1 reduces to

$$T_1=-\frac{1}{2}\int_r^\infty\frac{a^2(r'^2+a^2-2mr')}{\left[(r'^2+a^2)^2-a^2\lambda(r'^2+a^2-2mr')\right]^{3/2}}dr' \tag{21}$$

and this term will need to be evaluated numerically. The second term T_2 can be simplified to

$$T_2=\frac{1}{2}\frac{a\sin\theta\cos\theta}{a^2\lambda(\lambda-1)\sqrt{\lambda-\sin^2\theta}}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Omega(\theta)\epsilon(\cos\theta)-\Omega(\theta_*)}{a^2\lambda(\lambda-1)\sqrt{\lambda-\sin^2\theta}} \tag{22}$$

where

$$\Omega(\alpha)=a\left[E\left(\eta,t\right)-(1-t^2)F_E\left(\eta,t\right)\right],\ \eta=\sin^{-1}\frac{\sin\alpha}{\sin\theta_*},\ t=\sin\theta_*. \tag{23}$$

C. Regularity on the axis $\theta_* = 0$

The Kerr geometry is axially symmetric, and therefore the metric needs to satisfy a regularity condition on the axis $\theta_* = 0$. In order to check the condition we need to know the values on the axis of the non-zero metric components, as well as the leading term in a series expansion in θ_* for those components that are zero on the axis. Clearly the following metric functions have non-zero limits at $\theta_* = \theta = 0$: $\Delta, \Sigma, R^2, \omega_B$; but we will need to work out Q and P near the axis so that we can obtain expressions for θ and L near the axis.

We assume that θ_* and θ are small so that

$$P = a\sqrt{\theta_*^2 - \theta^2} \tag{24}$$

and

$$Q = \left(r^2 + a^2\right)\left(1 - \frac{a^2\theta_*^2\Delta}{2r^2 + 2a^2}\right), \;\; Q^{-1} = \left(r^2 + a^2\right)^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{a^2\theta_*^2\Delta}{2r^2 + 2a^2}\right). \tag{25}$$

Then Eq. (11) leads to

$$\theta = \frac{r\theta_*}{\sqrt{(r^2 + a^2)}}. \tag{26}$$

Next, we evaluate μ as

$$\mu = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{1}{2\theta_*}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_*} = \frac{r}{2a^2\theta_*^2}, \tag{27}$$

and then $L = \mu P Q$ is

$$L = \frac{r\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}{2\theta_*}. \tag{28}$$

Thus the non-trivial metric components at, or near, the $\theta_* = 0$ axis can be evaluated. We find that the PI metric satisfies

$$g_{\theta_*\theta_*} = r^2, \;\; g_{\phi\phi} = r^2\theta_*^2, \;\; g_{t\phi} = -\frac{2r^3\theta_*^2 ma}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}. \tag{29}$$

Since $\theta_*^2 g_{\theta_*\theta_*}/g_{\phi\phi} \to 1$ as $\theta_* \to 0$, the metric is regular on the axis.

IV. COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION TO BONDI-SACHS FORM

The transformation

$$t \to u = t - r_* \tag{30}$$

brings the metric (3) into Bondi-Sachs form except for the last term

$$R^2 sin^2\theta(d\phi - \omega_B(du + dr_*))^2; \tag{31}$$

but this term can be brought into the required form with the transformation

$$\phi \to \phi_* = \phi + H(r_*, \theta_*) \tag{32}$$

if the arbitrary function H satisfies the condition

$$\frac{\partial H(r_*, \theta_*)}{\partial r_*} = -\omega_B. \tag{33}$$

Substitution of the coordinate transforms (30) and (32) into Eq. (3) results in

$$ds^2 = \frac{(-du^2 - 2dudr_*)\Delta}{R^2} + 4\frac{L^2 sin^2\theta_* cos^2\theta_* d\theta_*^2}{R^2} + (\omega_B du + \frac{\partial H(r_*,\theta_*)}{\partial\theta_*} d\theta_* - d\phi_*)^2 R^2 sin^2\theta. \tag{34}$$

We now need to obtain an expression for $\frac{\partial H(r_*,\theta_*)}{\partial\theta_*}$. This is non-trivial as ω_B is given in terms of r and θ rather than in terms of r_* and θ_*. Since H in the coordinate transform (32) is arbitrary up to the condition (33), the remaining $\frac{\partial H}{\partial\theta_*}$ component is still undefined. We proceed by defining

$$H(r_*,\theta_*) = \int_r^\infty \frac{2mas}{\Delta(s)Q(s,\theta_*)} ds. \tag{35}$$

It then follows that Eq. (33) is met, since

$$\frac{\partial H(r_*,\theta_*)}{\partial r_*} = -\frac{2mar}{\Sigma R^2} = -\omega_B. \tag{36}$$

After integration of (35), $\frac{\partial H(r_*,\theta_*)}{\partial\theta_*}$ is obtained as

$$\frac{\partial H(r_*,\theta_*)}{\partial\theta_*} = \omega_B \beta \sin(2\theta_*) \tag{37}$$

where

$$\beta = \mu P^2 + \frac{a^3 m}{\omega_B} \int_r^\infty \frac{s}{Q^3} ds. \tag{38}$$

Note that the integral in Eq. (38) is proportional to T_1 defined in Eq. (21) and, as remarked earlier, will need to be evaluated numerically.

The metric now becomes

$$ds^2 = \frac{(-du^2 - 2dudr_*)\Delta}{R^2} + 4\frac{L^2 sin^2\theta_* cos^2\theta_* d\theta_*^2}{R^2} + (\omega_B \left[du + \beta\sin(2\theta_*)d\theta_*\right] - d\phi_*)^2 R^2 sin^2\theta \tag{39}$$

which is the Kerr metric in Bondi-Sachs form.

A. Regularity on the axis $\theta_* = 0$

The situation is very similar to that discussed earlier for the PI metric in Sec. III C. The only new metric function that has been introduced is β, which evaluates on the axis to

$$\beta = \frac{a^2(5r^2 + a^2)}{8r(r^2 + a^2)}. \tag{40}$$

The extra terms introduced into the metric components by way of β are multipled by θ_*^3, and are therefore too small to affect the issue of regularity.

V. NUMERICAL METHOD AND RESULTS

A. Coding issues

We mention only matters that are not routine. The expressions containing elliptic integrals E and F_E were evaluated using Bulirsch's elliptical numerical solvers [15]. The quantity $\Xi(z,k)$ defined in Eq. (14) can be expressed as a linear combination of elliptic integrals of various kinds, also evaluated using Bulirsch elliptical numerical solvers [15]. The quantity I_2 is defined in Eq. (17) by means of an integral whose integrand decreases monotonically as $\zeta \to \infty$, therefore standard solvers for infinite boundaries can be used. In similar fashion the first integral in Eq. (11) and the integral in Eq. (21) were evaluated using the same Romberg integration methods.

The quantity μ is singular as $\theta_* \to 0$, and this proves to be problematic for accurate numerical evaluation of metric quantities near the axis. It is for this reason that, in the Tables below, the smallest value of θ_* that is used is 0.3.

B. Construction of data on a regular grid

In order to obtain numerically the Ricci tensor of the metric to $O(h^2)$, a 13-point regular grid was used. First we find first metric derivatives using the central difference formula, then we calculate the Christoffel symbols, then we calculate first derivatives of the Christoffel symbols using the central difference formula, and finally we calculate the various components of the Ricci tensor.

For given (r_*, θ_*) we obtain the Boyer-Lyndquist coordinates (r, θ) as follows. We make an estimate for r and use Eq. (11) to find θ. Once θ has been obtained in this manner, (r, θ, θ_*) is substituted into Eq. (15) establishing a value for r_*. Of course, in general this will not be the desired value of r_*, so we repeat the calculation with a different estimate of r, and then use the bisection method to find, to an accuracy of 10^{-12} the value of r which leads to the correct value of r_*. Now, having obtained (r, θ) for given (r_*, θ_*), we find all the metric coefficients, including L, at the point (r_*, θ_*).

C. Results

First, the numerical code was validated by using it to find the Ricci tensor of the Kerr metric in standard Boyer-Linquist coordinates. Second order convergence to zero was observed.

The Ricci tensor of the metric (39) was evaluated. Tables I, II, III and IV show values for r, θ, L and β on a regular (r_*, θ_*) grid centered at $(0.4, 0.3)$ with $m = 1$, $a = 0.1$, and $h = \Delta r_* = \Delta\theta_* = 0.01$. From this data it is straightforward to find numerical values for all components of the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ on the regular (r_*, θ_*) grid, and thus to compute the Ricci tensor $R_{\alpha\beta}$ at the centre of the grid. The results are shown in the second column of Table V. Also in that Table we show $R_{\alpha\beta}$ evaluated using coarser grids, $h = 0.02$ and $h = 0.04$, centered at the same point $(0.4, 0.3)$ (but in these cases we do not give the intermediate data r, θ, L or β). The last columns of the Table show the result of convergence testing, and it is clear that all components are second order convergent to zero.

The values of (r, θ) and of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ were also found for the cases $m = 1$, $a \in \{0.1, 0.2\}$ on regular grids (r_*, θ_*) centered at $r_* \in \{0.4, 0.5\}5$, $\theta_* \in \{0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.2\}$, and for three different discretization parameters namely $h = 0.01$, $h = 0.02$ and $h = 0.04$. Rather than present the convergence rates of all the components of the 16 sets of Ricci tensors, we instead calculated the L_2-norm defined by

$$\|R_{\alpha\beta}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=0}^{3}\sum_{\beta=0}^{3}\frac{(R_{\alpha\beta})^2}{16}}. \tag{41}$$

These results are given in Table VI. In all cases, second-order convergence to zero was observed.

Because the metric (39) is derived from the PI metric, the above results imply that the PI metric is also Ricci flat; we have performed computations similar to those described above and explicitly confirmed this – see Table VII.

VI. THE METRIC OF FLETCHER AND LUN

Using coordinates (u, r, θ, ϕ) Fletcher and Lun [12] have obtained a metric in Bondi-Sachs form, denoted here by FL. The metric coefficients do not contain implicit functions, so a numerical evaluation of the Ricci tensor is straightforward, and convergence to zero was confirmed (see Table VIII). However, the metric suffers from an important restriction in that it is not regular at $\theta = 0$.

The FL metric coefficients at $\theta = 0$ were found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{tt} &= \frac{-r^2 - a^2 + a^2\tanh^2\alpha + 2mr}{-r^2 - a^2 + a^2\tanh^2\alpha} \\
l_{tr} &= -\frac{-r^2 - a^2 + a^2\tanh^2\alpha}{\sqrt{r\left(r^3 + ra^2 + 2a^2m\right)}} \\
l_{t\theta} &= \frac{\left(r^2\cosh^2\alpha - 2mr\cosh^2\alpha + a^2\right)a}{\left(r^2\cosh^2\alpha + a^2\right)\cosh^2\alpha} \\
l_{t\phi} &= -2\frac{amr\tanh^2\alpha}{-r^2 - a^2 + a^2\tanh^2\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\theta\theta} &= -\frac{\left(r^3\cosh^2\alpha+2a^2m+ra^2\right)r}{\left(r^2\cosh^2\alpha+a^2\right)\cosh^2\alpha} \\ l_{\theta\phi} &= 2\frac{mra^2\sinh^2\alpha}{\left(r^2\cosh^2\alpha+a^2\right)\cosh^2\alpha} \\ l_{\phi\phi} &= -\frac{\sinh^2\alpha\left(r^4\cosh^2\alpha+r^2a^2\cosh^2\alpha+2mr\cosh^2\alpha a^2+r^2a^2-2mra^2+a^4\right)}{\left(r^2\cosh^2\alpha+a^2\right)\cosh^2\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(r, a, m)$ is defined by

$$\alpha = -a\int_r^\infty \frac{d\nu}{\sqrt{\nu^4+a^2\nu^2+2a^2m\nu}}. \tag{43}$$

In general, all the angular components of the FL metric are non-zero at $\theta = 0$, so the metric cannot be regular there. Now, [12] suggests that the axis of symmetry is not at $\theta = 0$ but its location is a function of r, explicitly $\theta = \arcsin(-\tanh(\alpha))$. We do not comment on the analytic validity of such a statement. Our aim is to find a form for the Kerr metric that can be used in numerical codes based on the Bondi-Sachs metric, and whatever the case – irregularity at $\theta = 0$, or an axis of symmetry that is not a straight coordinate line – the metric of [12] is unsuitable for this purpose.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have computed numerically the Ricci tensors of the metrics (39), PI and FL. In all cases, second order convergence to zero was observed. We also investigated regularity near the axis $\theta_* = 0$ (or $\theta = 0$ in the FL case), finding that the metrics (39) and PI were regular, but that FL was not.

The aim of this work is to find a metric in Bondi-Sachs form that represents the Kerr geometry and that can be used in a numerical computation. The metric (39) partially meets that goal. In order to meet it completely, the radial coordinate r_* will need to be transformed to an area coordinate say r_{**} (i.e., so that the area of the 2-surface $u = r_{**} =$ constant is $4\pi r_{**}^2$); the procedure for doing so has been described in [16]. Also, there will need to be a transformation to the angular coordinates (stereographic) actually used in the codes. Finally, the numerical difficulty of finding the metric coefficients near the axis will need to be overcome. While not trivial, this is all in principle straightforward, and is deferred to further work.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Jeffrey Winicour for discussion; and Frans Pretorius for looking at an earlier draft of the paper, and informing us about an inconsistency in the calculation of a norm. NTB thanks Max-Planck-Institut für GravitationsPhysik, Albert-Einstein-Institüt, for hospitality. LRV thanks Cornelius Du Toit, Michael van Canneyt for assistance with the Lazarus and ppc386 compilers. The work was supported in part by the National Research Foundation, South Africa, under Grant number 2053724.

---

[1] L. Lehner, Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, R25 (2001).
[2] G. Cook, Living Rev. Relativity, http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2000-5 (2000).
[3] H. Bondi, M.J.G. van der Burg and A.W.K. Metzner, Proc. R. Soc. **A269**, 21 (1962).
[4] R.K. Sachs, Proc. R. Soc. **A270**, 103 (1962).
[5] N.T. Bishop and S.S. Deshingkar, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 024031 (2003).
[6] N.T. Bishop, R. Gomez, S. Husa, L. Lehner and J. Winicour, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 084015 (2003).
[7] R. Gómez, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 024007 (2001).
[8] R.A. d'Inverno, M.R. Dubal and E.A. Sarkies, Class. Quantum Grav. **17**, 3157 (2000).
[9] F. Siebel, J. A. Font, E. Muller and P. Papadopoulos, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 124018 (2003).
[10] R. Bartnik, Class. Quantum Grav. **14**, 2185 (1997).

[11] J. Winicour, Living Rev. Relativity, http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2001-3 (2001).

[12] S.J. Fletcher and A.W.C. Lun, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 4153 (2003).

[13] J. Winicour, private communication (2005).

[14] F. Pretorius and W. Israel, Class. Quantum Grav **15**, 2289 (1998).

[15] R. Bulirsch, Numerische Mathematik **7**, 78 (1965); **13**, 305 (1969).

[16] N.T. Bishop, R. Gomez, L. Lehner, B. Szilagyi, J. Winicour and R.A. Isaacson, in B.R. Iyer and B. Bhawal (Eds.) *Black Holes, Gravitational Radiation and the Universe* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999).

TABLE I: r on a regular $(r_* = 0.4 + ih, \theta_* = 0.3 + jh)$ grid with $h = 0.01$, $m = 1$ and $a = 0.1$; used in the metrics (39) and PI.

i	-2	-1	0	1	2
j					
-2			2.3701116298499		
-1		2.3685360418458	2.3701098379124	2.3716892173938	
0	2.3669659799190	2.3685341996528	2.3701079904032	2.3716873645652	2.3732723345139
1		2.3685323027830	2.3701060880593	2.3716854567442	
2			2.3701041316400		

TABLE II: θ on a regular $(r_* = 0.4 + ih, \theta_* = 0.3 + jh)$ grid with $h = 0.01$, $m = 1$ and $a = 0.1$; used in the metrics (39) and PI.

i	-2	-1	0	1	2
j					
-2			0.2797639049518		
-1		0.2897560966969	0.2897564200788	0.2897567439622	
0	0.2997483666777	0.2997486993479	0.2997490325375	0.2997493662436	0.2997497004638
1		0.3097414024170	0.3097417452810	0.3097420886767	
2			0.3197345612229		

TABLE III: L on a regular $(r_* = 0.4 + ih, \theta_* = 0.3 + jh)$ grid with $h = 0.01$, $m = 1$ and $a = 0.1$; used in the metrics (39) and PI.

i	-2	-1	0	1	2
j					
-2			10.5859871980039		
-1		10.2472057969004	10.2608136646930	10.2744788974703	
0	9.9325339379316	9.945685737941	9.9588930170935	9.9721559726835	9.9854748024450
1		9.6651644706586	9.6779990517326	9.6908877382673	
2			9.4161849439047		

TABLE IV: $\frac{\partial H(r_*.\theta_*)}{\partial \theta_*} = \omega_B \beta$ on a regular $(r_* = 0.4 + ih, \theta_* = 0.3 + jh)$ grid with $h = 0.01$, $m = 1$ and $a = 0.1$; used in the metric (39).

i	-2	-1	0	1	2
j					
-2			0.0000209409007		
-1		0.0000216623673	0.0000216050272	0.0000215476741	
0	0.0000223786889	0.0000223196230	0.0000222605430	0.0000222014497	0.0000221423438
1		0.0000229679828	0.0000229071865	0.0000228463764	
2			0.0000235446994		

TABLE V: The components of $R_{\alpha\beta}$ for the metric (39) at $(r_* = 0.4, \theta_* = 0.3)$ when $m = 1$, $a = 0.1$ for the 3 values of h shown. The data is fitted to $R_{\alpha\beta}[h] = R_{\alpha\beta}[0] + h^n B_{\alpha\beta}$ and the values of the 3 unknowns n (the convergence rate, shown below), $R_{\alpha\beta}[0]$ (the convergence limit, shown below), and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ (not shown) can then be found. In the last column we assume that the convergence limit is zero, and the data from the two smallest values of h is fitted to $R_{\alpha\beta}[h] = h^\rho C_{\alpha\beta}$; then the convergence rate ρ is found and shown below.

$R_{\alpha\beta}$	$h = 0.01$	$h = 0.02$	$h = 0.04$	n	$R_{\alpha\beta}[0]$	ρ
R_{00}	-.0000000380807	-.0000001511411	-.0000006048914	2.0048	-.0000000005609	1.9888
R_{01}	-.0000000356994	-.0000001416176	-.0000005668227	2.0052	-.0000000005629	1.9880
R_{02}	.0000000002564	.0000000010245	.0000000040897	1.9966	-.0000000000005	1.9985
R_{03}	.0000001685141	.0000006739409	.0000026940829	1.9989	-.0000000001356	1.9998
R_{10}	-.0000000356994	-.0000001416176	-.0000005668227	2.0052	-.0000000005629	1.9880
R_{11}	.0000001077265	.0000004299945	.0000017202702	2.0013	.0000000004374	1.9969
R_{12}	-.0000000012202	-.0000000044084	-.0000000175449	2.0428	-.0000000001985	1.8531
R_{13}	.0000000005647	.0000000022268	.0000000089078	2.0071	.0000000000143	1.9794
R_{20}	.0000000002564	.0000000010245	.0000000040897	1.9966	-.0000000000005	1.9985
R_{21}	.0000003176420	.0000012706415	.0000050765232	1.9977	-.0000000007055	2.0001
R_{22}	.0129878554048	.0521063939839	.2109476828529	2.0217	.0002061572158	2.0043
R_{23}	-.0000000137600	-.0000000550017	-.0000002195263	1.9961	.0000000000366	1.9990
R_{30}	.0000001685141	.0000006739409	.0000026940829	1.9989	-.0000000001356	1.9998
R_{31}	.0000000005647	.0000000022268	.0000000089078	2.0071	.0000000000143	1.9794
R_{32}	-.0000000137600	-.0000000550017	-.0000002195263	1.9961	.0000000000366	1.9990
R_{33}	-.0000111845769	-.0000447327548	-.0001788197801	1.9989	.0000000099046	1.9998

TABLE VI: The L_2-norm $\|R_{\alpha\beta}\|$ of the metric (39) at the gridpoints $(r_* = 0.4, 0.5,\ \theta_* = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.2)$ when $m = 1$, $a = 0.1, 0.2$ for the 3 values of h shown. The data is fitted to $\|R_{\alpha\beta}[h]\| = \|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\| + h^n B_{\alpha\beta}$ and the values of the 3 unknowns n (the convergence rate, shown below), $\|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\|$ (the convergence limit, shown below), and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ (not shown) can then be found. In the last column we assume that the convergence limit is zero, and the data from the two smallest values of h is fitted to $R_{\alpha\beta}[h] = h^\rho C_{\alpha\beta}$; then the convergence rate ρ is found and shown below

a	$r*$	θ_*	$h = 0.01$	$h = 0.02$	$h = 0.04$	n	$\|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\|$	ρ
0.1	0.4	0.3	.0032469650568	.0130266033030	.0527369396876	2.0217	.0000515392842	2.0043
0.1	0.4	0.6	.0002130833763	.0008527906803	.0034184910131	2.0038	.0000006083421	2.0008
0.1	0.4	0.9	.0000389028104	.0001556196190	.0006226264251	2.0004	.0000000127093	2.0001
0.1	0.4	1.2	.0000293455458	.0001173591202	.0004691359914	1.9988	-.0000000231698	1.9997
0.1	0.5	0.3	.0032470081286	.0130267772029	.0527376265713	2.0217	.0000515376608	2.0043
0.1	0.5	0.6	.0002131086500	.0008528867283	.0034188769168	2.0039	.0000006107702	2.0008
0.1	0.5	0.9	.0000389167189	.0001556755506	.0006228548385	2.0004	.0000000130975	2.0001
0.1	0.5	1.2	.0000293127899	.0001172283812	.0004686121003	1.9989	-.0000000234006	1.9997
0.2	0.4	0.3	.0032453323914	.0130201489364	.0527123284154	2.0217	.0000516338458	2.0043
0.2	0.4	0.6	.0002143149911	.0008577236053	.0034382789181	2.0038	.0000006116897	2.0008
0.2	0.4	0.9	.0000393784101	.0001575247969	.0006302707322	2.0005	.0000000140940	2.0001
0.2	0.4	1.2	.0000298331343	.0001193103237	.0004769318246	1.9988	-.0000000245470	1.9997
0.2	0.5	0.3	.0032454107976	.0130204532491	.0527135097953	2.0217	.0000516341443	2.0043
0.2	0.5	0.6	.0002143150084	.0008577193834	.0034382574117	2.0039	.0000006130839	2.0008
0.2	0.5	0.9	.0000393833905	.0001575427252	.0006303423768	2.0004	.0000000149722	2.0001
0.2	0.5	1.2	.0000297893272	.0001191387256	.0004762466973	1.9988	-.0000000260206	1.9998

TABLE VII: The L_2-norm $\|R_{\alpha\beta}\|$ for the PI metric at the grid points ($r_* = 0.4, 0.5,\ \theta_* = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.2$) when $m = 1$, $a = 0.1, 0.2$ for the 3 values of h shown. The data is fitted to $\|R_{\alpha\beta}[h]\| = \|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\| + h^n B_{\alpha\beta}$ and the values of the 3 unknowns n (the convergence rate, shown below), $\|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\|$ (the convergence limit, shown below), and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ (not shown) can then be found. In the last column we assume that the convergence limit is zero, and the data from the two smallest values of h is fitted to $R_{\alpha\beta}[h] = h^\rho C_{\alpha\beta}$; then the convergence rate ρ is found and shown below

a	$r*$	θ_*	$h = 0.01$	$h = 0.02$	$h = 0.04$	n	$\|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\|$	ρ
0.1	0.4	0.3	.0032469652386	.0130266040297	.0527369425946	2.0217	.0000515392845	2.0043
0.1	0.4	0.6	.0002130840309	.0008527932981	.0034185014848	2.0039	.0000006083423	2.0008
0.1	0.4	0.9	.0000389034943	.0001556223556	.0006226373828	2.0004	.0000000127102	2.0001
0.1	0.4	1.2	.0000293439533	.0001173527506	.0004691105137	1.9988	-.0000000231700	1.9997
0.1	0.5	0.3	.0032470083035	.0130267779027	.0527376293706	2.0217	.0000515376608	2.0043
0.1	0.5	0.6	.0002131092800	.0008528892486	.0034188869986	2.0039	.0000006107701	2.0008
0.1	0.5	0.9	.0000389173773	.0001556781866	.0006228653942	2.0004	.0000000130977	2.0001
0.1	0.5	1.2	.0000293112574	.0001172222518	.0004685875840	1.9989	-.0000000234007	1.9997
0.2	0.4	0.3	.0032453330980	.0130201517634	.0527123397244	2.0217	.0000516338460	2.0043
0.2	0.4	0.6	.0002143175794	.0008577339580	.0034383203299	2.0039	.0000006116900	2.0008
0.2	0.4	0.9	.0000393812217	.0001575360447	.0006303157634	2.0005	.0000000140979	2.0001
0.2	0.4	1.2	.0000298268109	.0001192850311	.0004768306609	1.9988	-.0000000245469	1.9997
0.2	0.5	0.3	.0032454114777	.0130204559705	.0527135206818	2.0217	.0000516341442	2.0043
0.2	0.5	0.6	.0002143174996	.0008577293475	.0034382972686	2.0039	.0000006130844	2.0008
0.2	0.5	0.9	.0000393860967	.0001575535526	.0006303857252	2.0005	.0000000149752	2.0001
0.2	0.5	1.2	.0000297832468	.0001191144036	.0004761494153	1.9988	-.0000000260198	1.9998

TABLE VIII: The L_2-norm $\|R_{\alpha\beta}\|$ for the FL metric at the grid points ($r = 4, 5,\ \theta = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.2$) when $m = 1$, $a = 0.1, 0.2$ for the 3 values of h shown. The data is fitted to $\|R_{\alpha\beta}[h]\| = \|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\| + h^n B_{\alpha\beta}$ and the values of the 3 unknowns n (the convergence rate, shown below), $\|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\|$ (the convergence limit, shown below), and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ (not shown) can then be found. In the last column we assume that the convergence limit is zero, and the data from the two smallest values of h is fitted to $R_{\alpha\beta}[h] = h^\rho C_{\alpha\beta}$; then the convergence rate ρ is found and shown below

a	r	θ	$h = 0.001$	$h = 0.002$	$h = 0.004$	n	$\|R_{\alpha\beta}[0]\|$	ρ
0.1	4	0.3	.0000457585190	.0001830406822	.0007322693156	2.0003	.0000000089023	2.0001
0.1	4	0.6	.0000025606471	.0000102426033	.0000409714183	2.0000	.0000000001052	2.0000
0.1	4	0.9	.0000004382731	.0000017531700	.0000070127199	2.0000	-.0000000000300	2.0001
0.1	4	1.2	.0000002993400	.0000011973612	.0000047894342	2.0000	-.0000000000017	2.0000
0.1	5	0.3	.0000426162736	.0001704709495	.0006819789861	2.0003	.0000000079718	2.0000
0.1	5	0.6	.0000024663873	.0000098656545	.0000394635674	2.0000	.0000000000586	2.0000
0.1	5	0.9	.0000004274473	.0000017097918	.0000068391881	2.0000	.0000000000012	2.0000
0.1	5	1.2	.0000002969713	.0000011878357	.0000047512974	2.0000	.0000000000169	1.9999
0.2	4	0.3	.0000666096949	.0002664508787	.0010659963373	2.0003	.0000000160412	2.0001
0.2	4	0.6	.0000030950589	.0000123802850	.0000495225364	2.0000	.0000000001332	2.0000
0.2	4	0.9	.0000004998811	.0000019995370	.0000079982078	2.0000	.0000000000011	2.0000
0.2	4	1.2	.0000003097359	.0000012388778	.0000049554632	2.0000	.0000000000239	1.9999
0.2	5	0.3	.0000570798645	.0002283289638	.0009134670723	2.0003	.0000000125727	2.0001
0.2	5	0.6	.0000028663076	.0000114652931	.0000458624019	2.0000	.0000000001087	2.0000
0.2	5	0.9	.0000004733989	.0000018936611	.0000075746822	2.0000	-.0000000000248	2.0000
0.2	5	1.2	.0000003046906	.0000012187660	.0000048750369	2.0000	-.0000000000045	2.0000